## **Public Document Pack**



# RUSHMOOR BOROUGH COUNCIL

# DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

at the Council Offices, Farnborough on Wednesday, 10th November, 2021 at 7.00 pm

To:

#### **VOTING MEMBERS**

Cllr C.J. Stewart (Chairman) Cllr L. Jeffers (Vice-Chairman)

Cllr Mrs. D.B. Bedford Cllr P.I.C. Crerar Cllr Michael Hope Cllr J.H. Marsh Cllr Nadia Martin Cllr S.J. Masterson Cllr T.W. Mitchell Cllr Sophie Porter Cllr Nem Thapa

## **NON-VOTING MEMBERS**

Cllr Marina Munro (Cabinet Member for Planning and Economy) (ex-officio)

#### **STANDING DEPUTIES**

Cllr A.K. Chowdhury Cllr A.J. Halstead

> Enquiries regarding this agenda should be referred to Adele Taylor, Democracy and Community, 01252 398831 adele.taylor@rushmoor.gov.uk

## AGENDA

#### 1. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST -

All Members who have or believe that they have any interest under the Rushmoor Borough Council Councillors' Code of Conduct, adopted in April 2021, in any matter to be considered at the meeting are required to disclose that interest at the start of the meeting (preferably) or as soon as possible thereafter and to take the necessary steps in light of their interest as to any participation in the agenda item.

#### 2. **MINUTES –** (Pages 1 - 6)

To confirm the Minutes of the meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> October, 2021 (copy attached).

#### 3. **PLANNING APPLICATIONS –** (Pages 7 - 60)

To consider the Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing's Report No. EPSH2130 on planning applications recently submitted to the Council (copy attached).

Sections A & B of the report set out the items to be considered at future meetings and petitions received:

ltem	Reference Number	Address	Recommendation
1	20/00400/FULPP	Land at former Lafarge Site, Hollybush Lane, Aldershot	For information
2	21/00271/FULPP	Block 3, Queensmead, Farnborough	For information
3	21/00170/FULPP	Land at former Nos. 1-5 Firgrove Parade, Farnborough	For information
4	21/00844/FULPP	Southwood Country Park, Ively Road, Farnborough	For information

Section C of the report sets out planning applications for determination at this meeting:

ltem	Pages	Reference Number	Address	Recommendation
5	13-21	21/00742/FULPP	New Memorial Square, Pennefathers Road, Wellesley, Aldershot	Grant

Section D of the report sets out planning applications which have been determined under the Council's scheme of delegation for information.

## 4. **APPEALS PROGRESS REPORT –** (Pages 61 - 64)

To receive the Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing Report EPSH2131 (copy attached) on the progress of recent planning appeals.

#### 5. **PLANNING (DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT) SUMMARY REPORT FOR THE QUARTER JULY - SEPTEMBER 2021 –** (Pages 65 - 70)

To receive the Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing's Report No. EPSH2132 (copy attached) which updates on the Performance Indicators for the Development Management section of Planning, and the overall workload for the Section for the period 1st July to 30th September 2021.

### 6. **ESSO PIPELINE PROJECT –** (Pages 71 - 72)

To receive a verbal update on the position regarding the approval of requirements pursuant to the Development Consent Order (Report No. EPSH2133 attached).

## MEETING REPRESENTATION

Members of the public may ask to speak at the meeting, on the planning applications that are on the agenda to be determined, by writing to the Committee Administrator at the Council Offices, Farnborough by 5.00 pm on the day prior to the meeting, in accordance with the Council's adopted procedure which can be found on the Council's website at

http://www.rushmoor.gov.uk/speakingatdevelopmentmanagement

-----

This page is intentionally left blank

# DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

Meeting held on Wednesday, 13th October, 2021 at the Concorde Room, Council Offices, Farnborough at 7.00 pm.

#### Voting Members

Cllr C.J. Stewart (Chairman) Cllr L. Jeffers (Vice-Chairman)

> Cllr Mrs. D.B. Bedford Cllr P.I.C. Crerar Cllr Michael Hope Cllr J.H. Marsh Cllr Nadia Martin Cllr S.J. Masterson Cllr Sophie Porter Cllr Nem Thapa

Apologies for absence were submitted on behalf of Cllr T.W. Mitchell.

#### Non-Voting Member

Cllr Marina Munro (Planning and Economy Portfolio Holder) (ex officio)

#### 35. **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations of interest for this meeting.

#### 36. MINUTES

The Minutes of the Meeting held on 15th September 2021 were approved and signed as a correct record of the proceedings.

#### 37. PLANNING APPLICATIONS

#### **RESOLVED:** That

(i) permission be given to the following application, as set out in Appendix "A" attached hereto, subject to the conditions, restrictions and prohibitions (if any) mentioned therein:

21/00608/TEMP Car Park, Farnborough Leisure Centre, Westmead, Farnborough

(ii) the following application be determined by the Head of Economy, Planning

and Strategic Housing, in consultation with the Chairman:

- \* 21/00645/FULPP Land to the rear of Nos. 162-170 Holly Road, Aldershot
- (iii) the applications dealt with by the Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing, where necessary in consultation with the Chairman, in accordance with the Council's Scheme of Delegation, more particularly specified in Section "D" of the Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing's Report No. EPSH2128, be noted;
- (iv) the current position with regard to the following applications be noted pending consideration at a future meeting:

20/00400/FULPP	Land	at	former	Lafarge	Site,	Hollybush	Lane,
	Alders	hot					
21/00271/FULPP	Block	3, Q	ueensm	ead, Farnl	ooroug	h	

\* The Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing's Report No. EPSH2128 in respect of these applications was amended at the meeting

# 38. PLANNING APPLICATION 21/00645/FULPP - LAND TO THE REAR OF NOS. 162-170 HOLLY ROAD, ALDERSHOT

The Committee considered the Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing's Report No. EPSH2128 in respect of Planning Application No. 21/00645/FULPP for the erection of three 3-bedroom 5 person occupancy terraced two-storey houses following the demolition of existing garages; alterations to the side elevation of No. 170 Holly Road and the provision of replacement parking spaces for Nos. 162a, 164, 164a and 170 Holly Road at land to the rear of Nos. 162 to 170 Holly Road, Aldershot.

The Report was updated at the meeting to extend the time for the determination of the application until 30th November 2021 to allow more time for the completion of the required Section 106 planning obligation.

**RESOLVED**: That, subject to the completion of a satisfactory planning obligation under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 by 26<sup>th</sup> November 2021 to secure the SAMMS SPA and Public Open Space contributions, as set out in the Report, the Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing, in consultation with the Chairman, be authorised to grant planning permission subject to the conditions and informatives set out in the Report.

However, in the event that a satisfactory Section 106 agreement is not received by 26<sup>th</sup> November 2021, the Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing, in consultation with the Chairman, be authorised to refuse planning permission on the grounds that the proposal does not provide a financial contribution to mitigate the effect of the development on the Thames Basin Heaths Special Protection Area in accordance with the Rushmoor Thames Basin Heaths Special Protection Area Interim Avoidance and Mitigation Strategy and adopted Rushmoor Local Plan Policy

NE1; and does not make appropriate provision for public open space in accordance with the requirements of the adopted Rushmoor Local Plan Policy DE6.

#### 39. APPEALS PROGRESS REPORT

The Committee received the Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing's Report No. EPSH2129 concerning the following appeal decisions:

Application / Enforcement Case No.	Description	Decision
20/00782/FULPP	Against the refusal of planning permission for rebuilding of the vehicle repair workshop (following fire damage) in the same footprint, other than the previous unauthorised eastern side extension, with associated parking at No. 1 Elms Road, Aldershot	Allowed
	A separate application for an award of costs had been made against the Council relating to the planning merits of the appeal. The appellant had stated that the Council Members had acted unreasonably by failing to take the professional advice of the Council officers without adequate reasons to do so and ignoring the fact that the commercial use was extant.	Refused

**RESOLVED**: That the Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing's Report No. EPSH2129 be noted.

The meeting closed at 9.00 pm.

CLLR C.J. STEWART (CHAIRMAN)

This page is intentionally left blank

## Development Management Committee

## Appendix "A"

Application No. & Date Valid:	21/00	608/TEMP	21st July 2021
Proposal:	Centr (10 X use b <b>Car</b>	e Car Park for temporary 8 feet) metal storage co y SERCO Street Cleaning	es within Farnborough Leisure siting of two 3.05 x 2.44 metre ontainers with access ramp for Team for a period of 3 years at <b>Leisure Centre Westmead</b>
Applicant:	Rushi	moor Borough Council	
Conditions:	1	containers removed, and	ed shall be discontinued, the the land restored to its former ree years from the date of this
			ered inappropriate to permit d for the siting of the portable
	2	accordance with the Drawing numbers: Sit Block/Layout Plan;	granted shall be carried out in following approved drawings te Location Plan; Site Container Dimensions Plan; Tree Report; and Photos of
		Reason - To ensure the accordance with the perm	development is implemented in nission granted.

This page is intentionally left blank

Development Management Committee 10th November 2021 Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing Report No.EPSH2130

## Planning Applications

#### 1. Introduction

1.1 This report considers recent planning applications submitted to the Council, as the Local Planning Authority, for determination.

#### 2. Sections In The Report

2.1 The report is divided into a number of sections:

#### Section A – FUTURE Items for Committee

Applications that have either been submitted some time ago but are still not ready for consideration or are recently received applications that have been received too early to be considered by Committee. The background papers for all the applications are the application details contained in the Part 1 Planning Register.

#### Section B – For the NOTING of any Petitions

#### Section C – Items for DETERMINATION

These applications are on the Agenda for a decision to be made. Each item contains a full description of the proposed development, details of the consultations undertaken and a summary of the responses received, an assessment of the proposal against current policy, a commentary and concludes with a recommendation. A short presentation with slides will be made to Committee.

# Section D – Applications ALREADY DETERMINED under the Council's adopted scheme of Delegation

This lists planning applications that have already been determined by the Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing, and where necessary with the Chairman, under the Scheme of Delegation that was approved by the Development Management Committee on 17 November 2004. These applications are not for decision and are FOR INFORMATION only.

2.2 All information, advice and recommendations contained in this report are understood to be correct at the time of publication. Any change in circumstances will be verbally updated at the Committee meeting. Where a recommendation is either altered or substantially amended between preparing the report and the Committee meeting, a separate sheet will be circulated at the meeting to assist Members in following the modifications proposed. This sheet will be available to members of the public.

#### 3. Planning Policy

- 3.1 Section 38(6) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended) requires regard to be had to the provisions of the development plan in the determination of planning applications. The development plan for Rushmoor compromises the Rushmoor Local Plan (February 2019), the Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan (October 2013) and saved Policy NRM6 of the South East Plan.
- 3.2 Although not necessarily specifically referred to in the Committee report, the relevant development plan will have been used as a background document and the relevant policies taken into account in the preparation of the report on each item. Where a development does not accord with the development plan and it is proposed to recommend that planning permission be granted, the application will be advertised as a departure and this will be highlighted in the Committee report.

#### 4. Human Rights

4.1 The Human Rights Act 1998 (the Act) has incorporated part of the European Convention on Human Rights into English law. All planning applications are assessed to make sure that the subsequent determination of the development proposal is compatible with the Act. If there is a potential conflict, this will be highlighted in the report on the relevant item.

#### 5. Public Speaking

5.1 The Committee has agreed a scheme for the public to speak on cases due to be determined at the meeting (Planning Services report PLN0327 refers). Members of the public wishing to speak must have contacted the Meeting Coordinator in Democratic Services by 5pm on the Tuesday immediately preceding the Committee meeting. It is **not** possible to arrange to speak to the Committee at the Committee meeting itself.

#### 6. Late Representations

- 6.1 The Council has adopted the following procedures with respect to the receipt of late representations on planning applications (Planning report PLN 0113 refers):
  - a) All properly made representations received **before** the expiry of the final closing date for comment will be summarised in the Committee report. Where such representations are received after the agenda has been published, the receipt of such representations will be reported orally and the contents summarised on the amendment sheet that is circulated at the Committee meeting. Where the

final closing date for comment falls **after** the date of the Committee meeting, this will be highlighted in the report and the recommendation caveated accordingly.

- b) Representations from both applicants and others made after the expiry of the final closing date for comment and received after the report has been published will not be accepted unless they raise a new material consideration which has not been taken into account in the preparation of the report or draws attention to an error in the report.
- c) Representations that are sent to Members should not accepted or allowed to influence Members in the determination of any planning application unless those representations have first been submitted to the Council in the proper manner (but see (b) above).
- d) Copies of individual representations will not be circulated to members but where the requisite number of copies are provided, copies of individual representation will be placed in Members' pigeonholes.
- e) All letters of representation will be made readily available in the Committee room an hour before the Committee meeting.

#### 7. Financial Implications

7.1 There are no direct financial implications arising from this report. However, in the event of an appeal, further resources will be put towards defending the Council's decision. Rarely, and in certain circumstances, decisions on planning applications may result in the Council facing an application for costs arising from a planning appeal. Officers will aim to alert Members where this may be likely and provide appropriate advice in such circumstances.

Tim Mills Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing

### Background Papers

- The individual planning application file (reference no. quoted in each case) Rushmoor Local Plan (Adopted Feb 2019)
- Current government advice and guidance contained in circulars, ministerial statements and the National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG).
- Any other document specifically referred to in the report.
- Regional Spatial Strategy for the South East, policy NRM6: Thames Basin Heaths Special Protection Area.
- The National Planning Policy Framework.
- Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan (2013).

This page is intentionally left blank

### Section A

### Future items for Committee

Section A items are for INFORMATION purposes only. It comprises applications that have either been submitted some time ago but are still not yet ready for consideration orare recently received applications that are not ready to be considered by the Committee. The background papers for all the applications are the application details contained in the Part 1 Planning Register.

Item	Reference	Description and address
1	20/00400/FULPP	Development of site to create a leisure facility comprising aquatic sports centre including cafe, gym, equestrian centre accommodation and ancillary facilities; equestrian centre and associated stabling; 21 floating holiday lodges with associated car parking, landscaping and bund (revised proposals submitted 2 February 2021) Land At Former Lafarge Site Hollybush Lane Aldershot Hampshire Further discussion of submissions and consultation responses is in progress. It is therefore too early to bring
2	21/00271/FULPP	<ul> <li>this application to Committee. Site Visit to be arranged.</li> <li>Erection of an extension to Kingsmead Shopping Centre; commercial, business and service uses on the ground floor (3,088sqm), 104 apartments over nine floors, private amenity space, 53 car parking spaces, up to 222 bicycle parking spaces, a bridge link and alterations to existing block 2 car park and the meads, a new entrance to The Meads shopping centre</li> <li>Block 3 Queensmead Farnborough Hampshire</li> <li>This application is the subject of a request from the applicant for an extension of time to consider further amendments.</li> </ul>

3	21/00170/FULPP	Erection of six storey building with basement, comprising ground floor flexible retail use under Use Classes E, F.2 and Sui Generis and 31 no. residential apartments above Land At Former 1 - 5 Firgrove Parade Farnborough Hampshire This application has only recently been received and consultations are under way.
4	21/00844/FULPP	<ul> <li>Proposed external alterations to existing building to facilitate conversion and change of use of former clubhouse to cafe and visitors centre; and change of use of existing ancillary bungalow to storage use; both in association with Southwood Country Park SANG</li> <li>Southwood Country Park, Ively Road Farnborough Hampshire</li> <li>This application has only recently been received and consultations are under way.</li> </ul>

## Section B

## Petitions

Item	Reference	Description and address
		There are no petitions to report

# Development Management Committee 10<sup>th</sup> November 2021

#### Item 5 Report No.EPSH 2130 Section C

The information, recommendations and advice contained in this report are correct as at the date of preparation, which is more than two weeks in advance of the Committee meeting. Because of these time constraints some reports may have been prepared in advance of the final date given for consultee responses or neighbour comment. Any changes or necessary updates to the report will be made orally at the Committee meeting.

Case Officer	Maggie Perry
Application No.	21/00742/FULPP
Date Valid	14th September 2021
Expiry date of consultations	22nd October 2021
Proposal	Construction of new memorial square at Pennefathers (Zone G), Wellesley, Aldershot
Address	Zone G – Pennefathers, Aldershot Urban Extension, Alisons Road, Aldershot, Hampshire
Ward	Wellington
Applicant	Grainger (Aldershot Ltd) and Secretary of State for Defence
Agent	Bryony Stala, Savills

#### Description

#### Background & Site:

On the 10th March 2014 hybrid outline planning permission (ref: 12/00958/OUT) was granted for the redevelopment of land at the Ministry of Defence's former Aldershot Garrison for up to 3,850 no. dwellings together with associated infrastructure, including a neighbourhood centre, employment provision, schools and a suite of Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspace (SANG). The Aldershot Urban Extension is known as Wellesley.

The Wellesley Masterplan approved as part of hybrid outline planning permission ref: 12/00958/OUT is divided into a series of manageable areas called Development Zones. Pennefather's Zone G is located to the south of Pennefather's Road and north of Knollys Road at the western edge of Wellesley. The zone is currently undeveloped but is identified in the Housing Delivery Strategy for 123 residential units.

The application site is located centrally within Zone G and comprises an existing landscaped area with memorial plaque to the victims of an IRA bomb on 22<sup>nd</sup> February 1972. The shape of the existing garden replicates the floor plan of the Officers' Mess building which previously stood on the site. The memorial is a locally listed heritage asset and the site lies within the Aldershot Military Conservation Area.

#### The Proposal

Permission is sought for a newly designed memorial square which will form a public space within Pennefathers Development Zone G of the Wellesley development. The square will feature a central stone monument incorporating the existing memorial plaque and retained lamp post within the redesigned space. The memorial has been designed in consultation with the victims' families and the Aldershot Branch of the Parachute Regimental Association.

#### **Consultee Responses**

RBC Conservation Officer:	No objection.
RBC Aboricultural Officer:	No objection.
RBC Ecology Officer:	Comments (summarised/paraphrased):
	The NPPF states that new developments should:
	<ul> <li>Provide bird nesting and bat roosting provision erected on the newly planted trees.</li> <li>Use native species or species of known biodiversity benefit when planting new trees and shrubs, preferably of local provenance. Planting should focus on nectar-rich flowers and/or berries as these can also be of considerable value to wildlife.</li> </ul>
	Case Officer's response: This advice has been forwarded to the developer. A condition is proposed to seek details of a revised planting schedule and biodiversity enhancements.
	<u>Protected species:</u> The Bat tree survey concludes that the 6 trees subject to felling do not have potential to host active bat roosts. Bats do not therefore appear to be a constraint to development.
	The Ecology Officer also provided advice relating to the potential impacts of construction on Badger setts and breeding birds.
	Case Officer's response: This advice has been forwarded to the developer and relevant informatives can attached to the decision notice.
Parachute Regimental Association (Aldershot Branch):	Comments: "we whole heartedly support this application and look forward to seeing it come to fruition in time for the 50 <sup>th</sup> anniversary on the 22 <sup>nd</sup> February 2022."

#### **Neighbours notified**

In addition to posting a site notice, 11 individual letters of notification were sent to neighbouring owner/occupiers. The neighbour consultation period expired on 12<sup>th</sup> October 2021.

One neighbour contacted the Council for further information. No representations / objections were received.

#### Policy and determining issues

Section 38(6) of the *Town and Country Planning Act 1990* (as amended) requires regard to be had to the provisions of the development plan in the determination of planning applications. The *Rushmoor Local Plan* was formerly adopted by the Council on 21st February 2019. In addition to the *Rushmoor Local Plan*, the development plan for Rushmoor includes the *Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan* (adopted in October 2013) and saved Policy NRM6 of the *South East Plan* (adopted in May 2009).

The following policies of the Rushmoor Local Plan are most relevant to this proposal:

- SP5 Wellesley
- HE1 Heritage
- HE3 Development within or adjoining a Conservation Area
- DE1 Design in the Built Environment
- NE3 Trees and Landscaping
- NE4 Biodiversity

The Council's adopted supplementary planning document (SPD) Aldershot Military Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan (RBC, February 2021) is also relevant.

The main determining issues in the assessment of the proposal are the principle of development; impact on neighbouring occupiers/uses; design and appearance; impact on the conservation area; and, impact on trees and biodiversity.

#### Commentary

#### The principle of development -

The principle of this development is established in Design Code: Document 1 – General Design Principles of the Hybrid Outline Planning Permission for Wellesley (12/00958/OUT dated 10/03/2014), which states in respect of Sub Character Area F2 Pennefather Heights *"The Memorial to the Victims of the IRA Bombing and its existing setting shall be sensitively incorporated into the design as a local focal point, within an area of publicly accessible open space."* 

#### Impact on neighbours -

Pennefather's Zone G is currently undeveloped and the application site is not publicly accessible. There are no existing properties or uses that would directly adjoin or would be directly affected by the proposed memorial square. The submitted plans include indicative drawings of how the surrounding residential development might be laid out, however the relevant reserved matters application for the residential elements is yet to be submitted or approved. A site notice was posted on Pennefather's Road and consultation letters were sent

to the closest residential properties within the Corunna Development Zone to the north. No representations were received.

#### Design and appearance -

The proposed memorial square would feature a newly commissioned 2.4m high by 1.26m diameter stone monument (plus 0.3m plinth) with a heptagonal base. The seven-sided memorial has been designed to represent the seven victims of the bombing and has been conceived in consultation with the victims' families. The memorial would incorporate name plaques for the victims together with historical and military plaques.

The monument would be centrally positioned within the newly designed square and seating would be provided around its southern side. The existing locally listed memorial plaque and retained lamp post would be incorporated.

The hard and soft landscape proposals have been designed to accord with the palettes contained within the approved Design Code: Document 2 for Wellesley. In this regard the northern half of the square would be predominantly hard-surfaced with high quality silver grey granite sets and grey block paving to complement the character and appearance of the stone monument. The southern half of the square would provide a garden with grass and ornamental planting framed by newly planted fastigiate trees, selected from the Wellesley Design Code planting palettes.

It is considered that the proposed monument and newly designed public space would represent appropriate design which would enhance the setting of the retained locally listed memorial and the character and appearance of the Aldershot Military Conservation Area.

#### Trees & biodiversity -

The planning application is accompanied by an Arboricultural Method Statement, Bat Tree Survey, Landscape Design Statement, Illustrative Landscape Masterplan, Planting Schedule and Landscape Management Plan.

Six (6) existing trees would be removed in order to implement the proposals for the memorial square. One of the trees is a U-grade tree (Common Lime) and is outside the application red line. It would require removal regardless of the current proposals due to its poor condition.

The five (5) trees within the existing memorial garden comprise of a centrally positioned Goat Willow surrounded by four Lawson Cypress Trees. The Arboricultural Method Statement submitted with the application includes a tree survey which identifies these trees as Category C (Low quality). The Council's Arboricultural Officer has therefore raised no objection to the removal of the trees, subject to their suitable replacement with the six (6) new native Beech trees (*Fagus sylvatica*).

The soft landscaping scheme comprises clipped yew hedges to frame the hard-landscaped frontage of the square, and an open area of amenity grass and ornamental bulb planting on the southern half, bordered by native Beech trees. Whilst the soft landscape proposals have been designed to accord with the Design Code: Document 2 of the Outline Planning Permission, the Council's Ecological Officer has highlighted the opportunity to include more native species of known biodiversity benefit. A planning condition is therefore proposed to seek details of a revised planting schedule, together with other appropriate biodiversity enhancements.

### Conclusion

The proposed development accords with the hybrid outline planning permission for the Aldershot Urban Extension, including the parameter plans and the principles of the approved Design Codes. Subject to appropriate planning conditions, the development would have no harmful impact on neighbouring amenity or uses and would enhance the setting of the locally listed memorial and the character and appearance of the Aldershot Military Conservation Area. The development would also provide opportunities for biodiversity enhancement.

#### Full Recommendation

GRANT planning permission subject to the following conditions and informatives:-

#### Time limit

1 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason - As required by Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended by Section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

#### Approved plans

2 The permission hereby granted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved drawings:

2519-PF-LA-03; 2519-PF-LA-02 Rev P1 and 2519-PP-Grainger-01.

Reason - To ensure the development is implemented in accordance with the permission granted.

#### **Biodiversity Enhancements**

3 Notwithstanding the information contained within the application, a revised planting schedule, including replacement semi-mature tree planting and native plant species of known biodiversity benefit, together with other appropriate measures for biodiversity enhancement such as bird nesting and bat roosting provision, shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority within 6 months of the date of this permission. The scheme so approved shall be implemented in full in the first available planting season following approval of such details. Any tree/shrub removed, dying or becoming seriously diseased within five years of planting shall be replaced by trees/shrubs of similar size and species to those originally approved.\*

Reason: In the interests of the character and appearance of the area, nature conservation and biodiversity net gain.

4 Notwithstanding the information contained within the application, full details of the proposed seating features shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority within 6 months of the date of this permission. The scheme shall be implemented in accordance with the details so approved.\*

Reason - To ensure satisfactory quality and external appearance for the development and to safeguard the character and appearance of the conservation area and the setting of adjoining heritage assets.

#### Arboricultural Method Statement

5 The development shall be carried out strictly in accordance with the Arboricultural Method Statement ref: JFA9025MS (JFA, August 2021) hereby approved.

Reason - To safeguard retained trees on and adjoining the site in the interests of the character and appearance of the area and nature conservation.

#### Hours of Construction

6 Construction or demolition work of any sort within the area covered by the application shall only take place between the hours of 0800-1800 on Monday to Fridays and 0800-1300 on Saturdays. No work at all shall take place on Sundays and Bank or Statutory Holidays.

Reason - To protect the amenities of neighbouring residential properties and to prevent adverse impact on traffic and parking conditions in the vicinity.

#### INFORMATIVES

1. INFORMATIVE - REASONS FOR APPROVAL - The Council has granted permission because:-

The proposed development accords with the hybrid outline planning permission for the Aldershot Urban Extension, including the parameter plans and the principles of the approved Design Codes. Subject to appropriate planning conditions, the development would have no harmful impact on neighbouring amenity or uses and would enhance the setting of the locally listed memorial and the character and appearance of the conservation area. The proposal would also provide opportunities for biodiversity enhancement. The proposal is consistent with Local Plan Policies SP5, HE1, HE3, DE1, NE3 and NE4 and the Council's supplementary planning document (SPD) Aldershot Military Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan (RBC, February 2021).

It is therefore considered that subject to compliance with the attached conditions, taking into account the provisions of the Development Plan and all other material planning considerations, the proposal is acceptable. This also includes a consideration of whether the decision to grant permission is compatible with the Human Rights Act 1998.

- 2. INFORMATIVE Your attention is specifically drawn to the conditions marked \*. These condition(s) require the submission of details, information, drawings etc. to the Local Planning Authority BEFORE WORKS START ON SITE or, require works to be carried out BEFORE COMMENCEMENT OF USE OR FIRST OCCUPATION OF ANY BUILDING or within a SET TIME PERIOD. Failure to meet these requirements is in contravention of the terms of the permission and the Council may take enforcement action to secure compliance. As of April 2008 submissions seeking to discharge conditions or requests for confirmation that conditions have been complied with must be accompanied by the appropriate fee.
- 3. INFORMATIVE In the UK all species of bats are protected under Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) and under Schedule 2 of the

conservation (Natural Habitats & c) Regulations 2004. The grant of planning permission does not supersede the requirements of this legislation and any unauthorised works would constitute an offence. If bats or signs of bats are encountered at any point during development then all works must stop immediately and you should contact Natural England.

- 4. INFORMATIVE All wild birds and their nests are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). If any trees are to be removed or buildings demolished during the bird breeding season (March-September inclusive) they should first be inspected by an experienced ecologist to ensure that no active nests are present. If an active nest is discovered it should be left in situ until the young have fledged.
- 5. INFORMATIVE Terrestrial Mammals/Badgers No heavy machinery should be used to facilitate works within a 30m buffer of a main Badger sett in line with best practice guidance. The applicant should also ensure that construction activities on site have regard to the presence of terrestrial mammals to ensure that these species do not become trapped in trenches, culverts or pipes. All trenches left open overnight should include a means of escape for any animals that may fall in.
- 6. INFORMATIVE The Local Planning Authority's commitment to working with the Applicants in a positive and proactive way is demonstrated by its offer of pre-application discussion to all, and assistance in the validation and determination of applications through the provision of clear guidance regarding necessary supporting information or amendments both before and after submission, in line with the National Planning Policy Framework.







This page is intentionally left blank

#### Section D

The following applications are reported for INFORMATION purposes only. They relate to applications, prior approvals, notifications, and consultations that have already been determined by the Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing and where necessary, in consultation with the Chairman, in accordance with the Council's adopted Scheme of Delegation.

If Members wish to have more details about the decision on any of the applications on this list please contact David Stevens (01252 398738) or John W Thorne (01252 398791) in advance of the Committee meeting.

Application No 20/00815/CONDPP

Ward: Manor Park

Applicant:Mr. P. DaveyDecision:Split decision

Decision Date: 15 October 2021

Proposal: Submission of details pursaant to conditions 3 (materials), 4 (Surface materials), 5(Boundary walls), 6 (levels), 7 (Construction Method Statement), 8 (Site Investigation), 14 (Landscape Plan), 16 (Telecommunications) and 18 (Demolition Management Plan) attached to planning permission 19/00873/FULPP for the erection of 17 dwellings and associated landscaping and parking

Address 2 - 4 Mount Pleasant Road Aldershot Hampshire GU12 4NL

Application No	21/00038/FULPP	Ward: North Town
Applicant:	Mike Lawrence	
Decision:	Permission Granted	
Decision Date:	20 October 2021	
Proposal:	Alterations to ground floor external wind South West elevations and conversion	
Address	16 Connaught Road Aldershot Hamp	oshire GU12 4RN

Application No	21/00101/FULPP	Ward: St John's	
Applicant:	Mr & Mrs Chandrakumar & Tharani J	eya	
Decision:	Permission Granted		
Decision Date:	07 October 2021		
Proposal:	Conversion of extended property to f (retrospective)	orm two dwellinghouses	
Address	14 Conway Drive Farnborough Ha	mpshire GU14 9RF	
Application No	21/00447/FULPP	Ward: Wellington	
Applicant:	Grainger (Aldershot) Ltd And Secreta	ary Of	
Decision:	Permission Granted : 07 October 2021		
Decision Date:			
Proposal:	Realignment of gas main and erection of temporary construction compound and working area together with associated tree removal and replacement woodland planting scheme.		
Address	Temporary Compound Governmer Aldershot Hampshire	nt Road Government Road	
Application No	21/00448/FULPP	Ward: St Mark's	
Applicant:	Grainger (Aldershot) Ltd And Secretary Of		

Decision: Permission Granted

Decision Date: 06 October 2021

Proposal: Improvement works to the water channel at Blandford Ditch, including clearance of existing structures, regrading of channel, installation of new stone bed and boulders, and associated tree removal and new tree planting.

## Address Blandford Ditch Forge Lane Aldershot Hampshire

Application No	21/00504/FULPP	Ward: Empress
Applicant:	Mr Arif Miftari	
Decision:	Permission Granted	
Decision Date:	28 October 2021	
Proposal:	Retention and completion roof to side of house	of timber lattice canopy with profiled metal
Address	9 Marrowbrook Close Fa	rnborough Hampshire GU14 0BD
Application No	21/00570/FULPP	Ward: Manor Park
Applicant:	Ranjita Singh Malla	
Decision:	Permission Granted	
Decision Date:	20 October 2021	
Proposal:		ate change of use of vehicle repair workshop no. one bed flats with three integral parking
Address	45 Upper Elms Road Ald	ershot Hampshire GU11 3ET
Application No.	24/00044/TEMD	Mord, Most Llosth
Application No	21/00611/TEMP	Ward: West Heath
Applicant:	Mr Thomas Moore	
Decision:	Temporary permission C	Granted
Decision Date:	15 October 2021	
Proposal:	Temporary permission for Rugby Club for community	locating a portable cabin at Farnborough
Address	Farnborough Rugby Foc Hampshire GU14 8LS	tball Club Tile Barn Close Farnborough

Application No	21/00629/FULPP	Ward: St John's	
Applicant:	Mr A Richards		
Decision:	Permission Granted		
Decision Date:	26 October 2021		
Proposal:	Relief of conditions 16 & 17 attached to planning permission RSH 04796/1 dated 12th August 1988 to allow the erection of a single storey rear extension and partial conversion of the garage to a habitable rooms and store room		
Address	27 Moselle Close Farnborough Han	npshire GU14 9YB	
Application No	21/00650/FULPP	Ward: Empress	
Applicant:	MR GORDON BLAMIRE		
Decision:	Permission Refused		
Decision Date:	08 October 2021		
Proposal:	Erection of two storey side extension		
Address	11 Hinstock Close Farnborough Ha	mpshire GU14 0BE	
Application No	21/00662/FULPP	Ward: St Mark's	
Application No Applicant:	21/00662/FULPP Mr Easthill	Ward: St Mark's	
		Ward: St Mark's	
Applicant: Decision:	Mr Easthill	Ward: St Mark's	
Applicant: Decision:	Mr Easthill Permission Granted		
Applicant: Decision: Decision Date:	Mr Easthill <b>Permission Granted</b> 26 October 2021 Formation of dormer window to rear to	o form rooms in roof and 3 roof	
Applicant: Decision: Decision Date: Proposal: Address	Mr Easthill <b>Permission Granted</b> 26 October 2021 Formation of dormer window to rear to windows to front	o form rooms in roof and 3 roof	
Applicant: Decision: Decision Date: Proposal: Address	Mr Easthill Permission Granted 26 October 2021 Formation of dormer window to rear to windows to front 41 Southampton Street Farnboroug	o form rooms in roof and 3 roof gh Hampshire GU14 6BG	
Applicant: Decision: Decision Date: Proposal: Address Application No	Mr Easthill Permission Granted 26 October 2021 Formation of dormer window to rear to windows to front 41 Southampton Street Farnboroug 21/00664/FULPP	o form rooms in roof and 3 roof gh Hampshire GU14 6BG	
Applicant: Decision: Decision Date: Proposal: Address Address Application No Applicant: Decision:	Mr Easthill Permission Granted 26 October 2021 Formation of dormer window to rear to windows to front 41 Southampton Street Farnboroug 21/00664/FULPP Rob Routh	o form rooms in roof and 3 roof gh Hampshire GU14 6BG	
Applicant: Decision: Decision Date: Proposal: Address Address Application No Applicant: Decision:	Mr Easthill Permission Granted 26 October 2021 Formation of dormer window to rear to windows to front 41 Southampton Street Farnboroug 21/00664/FULPP Rob Routh Permission Granted	o form rooms in roof and 3 roof gh Hampshire GU14 6BG Ward: Knellwood	

Appl	ication No	21/00665/LBC2	Ward: Wellington
Appl	icant:	Mr Jack Riggs	
Deci	sion:	Permission Granted	
Deci	sion Date:	05 October 2021	
Prop	osal:	Listed Building Consent: Erection of a statue of Florence Nightingale within the landscaping to the front of the Administrative Block of the Cambridge Military Hospital Building	
Addr	ess	Cambridge Military Hospital Hospita Hampshire GU11 2AN	I Road Wellesley Aldershot
		Hampshire GU11 2AN	
			I Road Wellesley Aldershot Ward: Empress
Appl		Hampshire GU11 2AN	
Appl	ication No icant:	Hampshire GU11 2AN 21/00669/FULPP	

Proposal: Erection of a single storey side extension

Address 43 High View Road Farnborough Hampshire GU14 7PU

Application No	21/00672/FUL	Ward: Fernhill
Applicant:	Mr K Stobiniecki	
Decision:	Permission Granted	
Decision Date:	08 October 2021	
Proposal:	Retention and alterations to outbuilding	to house personal gym
Address	24 St Davids Close Farnborough Har	mpshire GU14 9AQ

Application No	21/00677/REXPD	Ward: West Heath
Applicant:	Mr Steven Leach	
Decision:	Prior approval is NOT required	
Decision Date:	06 October 2021	
Proposal:	Notification of a prior approval for prop Erection of a single storey rear extens the eaves and in overall height of 3.4n	ion measuring 8m in depth, 2.7m to
Address	77 Fernhill Road Farnborough Ham	pshire GU14 9SA

Application No	21/00684/FULPP	Ward: Wellington
Applicant:	Mr Jack Riggs	
Decision:	Permission Granted	
Decision Date:	11 October 2021	
Proposal:	Erection of a statue of Florence Nightin	ngale
Address	Cambridge Military Hospital Hospita Hampshire GU11 2AN	I Road Wellesley Aldershot
Application No	21/00686/PDC	Ward: West Heath
Applicant:	Mr & Mrs Turcsan	
Decision:	Development is Lawful	
Decision Date:	13 October 2021	
Dropood	Lauful Davalance at Oartificate Fan Dr	
Proposal:	Lawful Development Certificate For Pro a single storey rear extension	oposed Development: Erection of
Address	•	
·	a single storey rear extension	
Address	a single storey rear extension	
Address	a single storey rear extension <b>176 West Heath Road Farnborough</b>	Hampshire GU14 8PL
Address Application No	a single storey rear extension <b>176 West Heath Road Farnborough</b> 21/00693/TPOPP	Hampshire GU14 8PL
Address Application No Applicant: Decision:	a single storey rear extension <b>176 West Heath Road Farnborough</b> 21/00693/TPOPP Mr Patrick Denston	Hampshire GU14 8PL
Address Application No Applicant: Decision:	a single storey rear extension <b>176 West Heath Road Farnborough</b> 21/00693/TPOPP Mr Patrick Denston <b>Split decision</b>	Hampshire GU14 8PL Ward: Knellwood a height of no less than 5.8 adjacent Doctors Surgery with an s currently providing excessive
Address Application No Applicant: Decision: Decision Date:	a single storey rear extension <b>176 West Heath Road Farnborough</b> 21/00693/TPOPP Mr Patrick Denston <b>Split decision</b> 11 October 2021 Maple Tree - Crown reduction to leave metres and a 5 metre clearance from a overall uniform appearance. The tree is shadowing, damage to drainage, roof,	Hampshire GU14 8PL Ward: Knellwood a height of no less than 5.8 adjacent Doctors Surgery with an s currently providing excessive data connection and car park (T1

\_\_\_\_

Application No	21/00695/TPO	Ward: Empress
Applicant:	Mrs Dean	
Decision:	Permission Granted	
Decision Date:	08 October 2021	
Proposal:	T31 Beech - Lift crown to no more than reduce height and sides by no more th growth points (T31 of TPO 368)	0
Address	91 Pierrefondes Avenue Farnboroug	h Hampshire GU14 8NZ

	side extension with erection of front por	rch
Proposal:	Demolition of single detached garage, e	
Decision Date:	18 October 2021	
Decision:	Permission Granted	
Applicant:	Mr Marcus Brannac	
Application No	21/00700/FUL	Ward: Knellwood

Application No	21/00706/FULPP	Ward: North Town
Applicant:	Mr and Mrs R Cronin	
Decision:	Permission Granted	
Decision Date:	14 October 2021	
Proposal:	Erection of a two storey front extension	
Address	34 Brookfield Road Aldershot Hamps	shire GU12 4UR
Application No	21/00707/FULPP	Ward: Cherrywood
Applicant:	Mr Paul Marchant	
Decision:	Permission Granted	

Decision Date: 06 October 2021

Proposal: Erection of single storey front, side and rear extensions to facilitate a garage and habitable rooms

Application No	21/00708/FULPP	Ward:	North Town
Applicant:	Mrs Alison Seed		
Decision:	Permission Granted		
Decision Date:	26 October 2021		
Proposal:	boundary with neighbour	No: 5 Lower Newpor	ence along the rear garden t Road and replacement of e boundary with neighbour
Address	The Dairy 18 Herretts G	ardens Aldershot H	ampshire GU12 4PU
Application No	21/00716/FULPP	Ward:	Cove And Southwood
Applicant:	Mrs Nicola Butler		
Decision:	Permission Granted		
Decision Date:	18 October 2021		
Proposal:	Erection of a two storey side and single storey rear extension		
Address	7 Wood Lane Farnboro	ugh Hampshire GU1	14 0AJ
Application No	21/00717/TPO	Ward:	St John's
Applicant:	Mr James Wiggins		
Decision:	Permission Granted		

Decision Date: 08 October 2021

Proposal: Oak Tree - crown reduce by no more than 3 metres to give no more than 3 metres clearance from the house and repeat as required (T1 of TPO 101)

#### Address 82 Dart Road Farnborough Hampshire GU14 9PF

Application No 21/00718/TPO

Ward: Manor Park

Applicant: Jocelyn Somerville

Decision: Permission Granted

Decision Date: 08 October 2021

Proposal: One Oak (part of group G1 of TPO 349) T1 on submitted application form plan, crown reduce by no more than 3 metres and crown lift to no more than 5 metres from ground level

Address 10 Blackman Gardens Aldershot Hampshire GU11 3QT

Application No	21/00719/FULPP	Ward:	Cove And Southwood
Applicant:	Mrs Debbie Brown		
Decision:	Permission Granted		
Decision Date:	20 October 2021		
Proposal:	Erection of first floor rear extension		
Address	8 Welbeck Close Farnborough Ham	pshire G	GU14 0HD

Application No	21/00723/TPO	Ward:	Fernhill
Applicant:	Mr Richard Smith		
Decision:	Permission Refused		
Decision Date:	18 October 2021		
Proposal:	Fell 2x Alder Trees (T13 and T14 of TPO427). These trees have an infestation of Alder Leaf Beetles.		
Address	45 Ashbury Drive Blackwater Camberley Hampshire GU17 9HH		

Application No 21/00740/TPOPP

Ward: St John's

Applicant: Mrs Barbara Poulter

Decision: Permission Granted

Decision Date: 21 October 2021

Proposal: T15 Oak - Crown reduce by no more than 3.5 meters back no further than the previous reduction points, leaving tree 9 meters tall and 5 meters wide to maintain a good condition of the tree and the removal of deadwood. (T15 of TPO 365)

Address 12 Silver Birch Way Farnborough Hampshire GU14 9UP

Application No	21/00744/FULPP	Ward: Fernhill	
Applicant:	Mr D Govender		
Decision:	Permission Granted		
Decision Date:	15 October 2021		
Proposal:	Retention of boundary brick wall, brick fence panels	ention of boundary brick wall, brick piers and infill close boarded e panels	
Address	Ferndale 34 Sandy Lane Farnborough Hampshire GU14 9EU		

 Application No	21/00747/TPOPP	Ward: St John's	
Applicant:	Mr Zack Hart		
Decision:	Permission Granted		
Decision Date:	21 October 2021		
Proposal:	One Oak (part of group G24 of TPO358A) T1 as per submitted plan, crown reduce by no more than 3 metres. One Beech T2 (also group G24) reduce height by no more than 5 metres		
Address	10 The Birches Farnborough Hampshire GU14 9RP		
Application No	21/00750/FUL	Ward: Rowhill	
Applicant:	Mr M A Huassin		
Decision:	Permission Granted		
Decision Date:	27 October 2021		
Proposal:	Installation of new external front door		
Address	96A Queens Road Aldershot Hampshire GU11 3JU		
Application No	21/00752/FULPP	Ward: West Heath	
Applicant:	Aislinn Rudd		
Decision:	Permission Granted		
Decision Date:	20 October 2021		
Proposal:	Erection of a single storey rear extension 6 Watts Road Farnborough Hampshire GU14 8PP		
Address			
 Application No	21/00755/TPOPP	Ward: Empress	
Applicant:	Mrs Welfare		
Decision:	Permission Granted		
Decision Date:	28 October 2021 Remove one Silver Birch (part of group G5 of TPO 356) as per submitted plan		
Proposal:			

Address Inglewood 133 Prospect Road Farnborough Hampshire GU14 8JY
Application No	21/00760/ADVPP	Ward: Empress
Applicant:	KOKORO UK LTD EUN KO	
Decision:	Permission Granted	
Decision Date:	27 October 2021	
Proposal:	Display of 2 fascia signs, 2 projecting	signs and graphic window vinyls
Address	56 Queensmead Farnborough Ham	oshire GU14 7RT
Application No	21/00761/TPOPP	Ward: St John's
Applicant:	Mr Ryan Anderson	
Decision:	Permission Granted	
Decision Date:	: 28 October 2021	
Proposal:	One group of mixed species trees on boundary of 2 Grantham Drive (group G4 of TPO 261) as per submitted plan, cut back from property by no more than 2 metres to natural target pruning points, no wounds to exceed over 50mm diameter	
Address	2 Grantham Drive Farnborough Han	npshire GU14 9UB
Application No	21/00762/NMAPP	Ward: Wellington
Applicant:	LNT Care Developments	
Decision:	Permission Granted	
Decision Date:	: 15 October 2021	
Proposal:	Non-Material Amendment to Planning dated 22 July 2021 for alteration of pa parking bays and the re-wording of Co	rking layout to relocate disabled
Address	Parsons Barracks Car Park Ordnan GU11 1TW	ce Road Aldershot Hampshire

Application No	21/00763/NMAPP	Ward: Cherrywood	
Applicant:	The Prospect Trust (The Sixth Form C	e Prospect Trust (The Sixth Form Colle	
Decision:	Permission Granted	ermission Granted	
Decision Date:	25 October 2021		
Proposal:	Non Material Amendment : relocation and re-design of proposed Air Handling Unit to south-west elevation from south-east elevation as approved with planning permission 21/00362/FULPP dated 6 July 2021		
Address	The Sixth Form College Prospect Avenue Farnborough Hampshire GU14 8JX		

Application No	21/00764/FULPP	Ward: Empress
Applicant:	JUSTIN PUSHMAN	
Decision:	Permission Granted	
Decision Date:	27 October 2021	
Proposal:	Erection of a single storey rear extension, installation of new windows, partial garage conversion and external material changes	
Address	21 Fleming Close Farnborough Hampshire GU14 8BT	

Application No	21/00773/ADV	Ward: Empress
Applicant:	Dr G Pearce	
Decision:	Permission Granted	
Decision Date:	27 October 2021	
Proposal:	Continue display of 1 illuminated fascia sign, 1 illuminated projection sign and graphic sign above rear door	
Address	42 Victoria Road Farnborough Hamp	oshire GU14 7PG
Address	42 Victoria Road Farnborough Hamp	oshire GU14 7PG
	<b>42 Victoria Road Farnborough Hamp</b> 21/00776/NMA	ward: Manor Park
Application No	21/00776/NMA	

Proposal: Non Material Amendment to planning application Ref: 20/00917/FULPP dated 15 February 2021 to change the pitched roof of the single storey rear extension to a flat roof containing a lantern light

#### Address 14 Park Road Aldershot Hampshire GU11 3PU

Application No	21/00792/NMA	Ward: Rowhill	
Applicant:	Dr Cassidy Mackenzie		
Decision:	Permission Granted		
Decision Date:	07 October 2021		
Proposal:		on-material amendment to planning permission 21/00406/FUL dated 9/07/2021 (Re-roofing of the building) to allow changes to hip tiles	
Address	27 Cargate Avenue Alders	shot Hampshire GU11 3EW	
Application No	21/00794/NMAPP	Ward: Knellwood	
Applicant:	Mr Callum Doherty		
Decision:	Permission Granted		
Decision Date:	07 October 2021		
Proposal:	Non Material Amendment to 15/12/2020 (for the erection	o application 20/00926/FULPP dated	
	formation of dormer window	v with 3 roof lights on north side facing roof and create a part flat roof to the single storey	
Address	formation of dormer windov slope) to increase the pitch rear extension	v with 3 roof lights on north side facing roof	
	formation of dormer windov slope) to increase the pitch rear extension	w with 3 roof lights on north side facing roof and create a part flat roof to the single storey borough Hampshire GU14 6NR	
Application No	formation of dormer window slope) to increase the pitch rear extension <b>36 Canterbury Road Farm</b> 21/00886/NMAPP	v with 3 roof lights on north side facing roof and create a part flat roof to the single storey	
Application No Applicant:	formation of dormer window slope) to increase the pitch rear extension <b>36 Canterbury Road Farm</b>	w with 3 roof lights on north side facing roof and create a part flat roof to the single storey borough Hampshire GU14 6NR	
Applicant: Decision:	formation of dormer window slope) to increase the pitch rear extension <b>36 Canterbury Road Farm</b> 21/00886/NMAPP Mr Sean Havis	w with 3 roof lights on north side facing roof and create a part flat roof to the single storey borough Hampshire GU14 6NR	
Application No Applicant: Decision:	formation of dormer window slope) to increase the pitch rear extension <b>36 Canterbury Road Farm</b> 21/00886/NMAPP Mr Sean Havis <b>Permission Granted</b> 19 October 2021 Non-material amendment to dated 21st June 2018 for F MATTERS: for the construct affordable supported housin former band practice room and parking, in Development Condition 4 (1 to 21), attack	w with 3 roof lights on north side facing roof and create a part flat roof to the single storey borough Hampshire GU14 6NR	

This page is intentionally left blank

### We'll be starting soon

Development Management Committee

10<sup>th</sup> November 2021 age 37





# 10<sup>th</sup> November 2021



# Attendance and Agenda Item 1: Declarations of Interest



### **Agenda Item 2: Minutes**



# Agenda Item 3: Planning Applications



### Item 5 : 21/00742/FULPP

### Zone G – Pennefathers Aldershot Urban Extension (Wellesley)













Current positioning of the existing plaque of the Memorial



Existing lawn with trees and the Memorial









Page 47





### Agenda Item 4: Appeals Progress Report



Agenda Item 5: Planning (Development Management) Summary Report



Agenda Item 6: Esso Pipeline Project













RUSHMOOR BOROUGH COUNCIL









RUSHMOOR BOROUGH COUNCIL





# 10<sup>th</sup> November 2021



## The meeting has now finished

Development Management Committee

10<sup>th</sup> November 2021





#### Development Management Committee 10th November 2021

#### Planning Report No.EPSH2131

#### Appeals Progress Report

#### 1. New Appeals

- An appeal against refusal of planning permission 21/00048/REVPP for the 1.1 'Variation of condition 15 attached to planning permission 17/00344/REVPP dated 22.06.2017 for the erection of restaurant with drive-thru and takeaway facility, to allow permitted hours of use from 06:00 to 00:00, 7 days a week at McDonalds, 1 North Close, Aldershot, GU12 4HA has now been made valid and given а start date. The planning appeal reference is APP/P1750/W/21/3278383. The appeal will be determined by the 'written representation' method.
- 1.2 An appeal against refusal of planning permission 21/00516/FULPP for the 'Erection of two storey side extension (following demolition of single storey side extension), a single storey rear extension, a single storey side extension, a roof extension comprising two rear dormers and four front roof lights, and creation of a second vehicular cross over at **81 Highgate Lane, Farnborough** has now been made valid and given a start date. The planning appeal reference is APP/P1750/W/21/ APP/P1750/D/21/3283021. The appeal will be determined by the 'householder fast track written representation' method.
- 1.3 An appeal against refusal of planning permission 20/00149/FULPP for the 'Refurbishment and amalgamation of existing Units 2A & 3 Blackwater Shopping Park, including removal of existing mezzanine floors, revised car parking and servicing arrangements; relief from Condition No. 4 of planning permission 93/00016/FUL dated 10 January 1994 to allow use as a foodstore (Use Class A1) with new mezzanine floor to provide ancillary office and staff welfare facilities, ancillary storage and plant machinery areas; use of part of new foodstore unit as self-contained mixed retail and cafe/restaurant use (Use Classes A1/A3); relief from Condition No. 17 of planning permission 93/00016/FUL dated 10 January 1994 to allow extended servicing hours for the new foodstore unit of 0600 to 2300 hours Monday to Saturday (including Bank Holidays) and 0700 to 2000 hours on Sundays; loss of existing parking spaces to front of proposed foodstore to provide new paved area with trolley storage bays and cycle parking; installation of new customer entrances to new units; widening of site vehicular access to Farnborough Gate road to provide twin exit lanes; and associated works (re-submission of withdrawn application 19/00517/FULPP)' at Units 2A And 3 Blackwater Shopping Park 12 Farnborough Gate Farnborough has now been made valid and given a start date. The planning appeal reference is APP/P1750/W/21/3273646. The appeal will be determined by the 'written representation' method.

#### 2 Appeal Decisions

- 2.1 An appeal against refusal of planning permission 20/00511/FULPP for "Minor works to facilitate change of use of building to Hair Salon (A1 use class), including formalisation of existing parking" at Gold Valley Lakes Government Road Aldershot Hampshire. The application related to the conversion of a building at the above fishing complex. The building has permission for residential use, but conversion is incomplete and residential use has not commenced. The Council refused planning permission under delegated powers on 9 September 2020 for the following reasons:
  - 1 The proposal is for a shop use serving visiting members of the public and unconnected with the fishing complex in which it would be located, outside the Defined Urban Area or any Town, District or Neighbourhood Shopping Centre. The applicant has failed to demonstrate that there are no sequentially preferable locations in the defined urban area. The proposed use is therefore in an inappropriate location and likely to become a destination in its own right, to the detriment of the vitality and viability of Town, District and Neighbourhood shopping centres, contrary to Policy SS2 of the Rushmoor Local Plan.
  - 2 The proposal is for a shop use in an unsustainable Countryside location without available access by alternative or sustainable means of transport and which would therefore rely on private car transport. It would give rise to increased car journeys over and above those associated with the lawful use of the building as a dwellinghouse, contrary to Policy NE5 of the Rushmoor Local Plan.

The Inspector considered the main issues to be whether the appeal site is a suitable location for a hair salon (A1 use class) having regard to:

• The impact on the vitality and viability of the Borough's town, district and neighbourhood shopping centres; and

• The accessibility of the site by means of transport other than the private car.

The Inspector agreed with the Appellant that a sequential test was unnecessary having regard to Paragraphs 87, 88 and 89 of the revised National Planning Policy Framework (the Framework), published on 20 July 2021. Paragraph 89 confirms that the sequential approach applied to applications for town centre development should not be applied to small scale rural offices or other small-scale rural development. The Inspector noted the Council's view that the proposal would not serve a rural catchment due to the proximity to major urban areas, but commented that since this factor is not a criterion of Paragraph 89 of the Framework, this argument is not relevant.

The Inspector considered that due to its small scale, the proposed retail use is unlikely to have any material impact on Town and district shopping centres, and that due to its somewhat remote location, is unlikely to attract to attract a significant amount of passing trade to the detriment of existing hairdressing facilities within urban retail centres. The Inspector commented that Paragraph 81 of the Framework requires planning decisions to help create the conditions in which businesses can invest, expand and adapt, stating that significant weight should be placed on the need to support economic growth and productivity, taking into account both local business needs and wider opportunities for development. Paragraphs 84 and 85 specifically give support to a prosperous rural economy, with the former requiring planning decisions to enable the sustainable growth and expansion of all types of business in rural areas, including through the conversion of existing buildings. The Inspector stated that the proposal, comprising a change of use of an existing, underutilised building, would encourage economic growth in a rural area through the expansion of the appellant's existing hairdressing business. There are also likely to be benefits to the adjacent existing commercial use as a result of an anticipated synergy between the established fishery enterprise and the hairdressing use, as evidenced by the appellant and third-party letters of support. Therefore the proposal accords with the Framework objectives in respect of rural enterprise.

The Inspector therefore concluded that the appeal site is a suitable location for a hair salon (A1 use class) having regard to the impact on the vitality and viability of the Borough's town, district and neighbourhood shopping centres which would not conflict with the objectives of Local Plan Policy SS2 (which seeks to protect the vitality and viability of the Borough's town, district and neighbourhood centres) and accords with the objectives of Chapter 6 of the Framework in giving support to a prosperous rural economy.

With regard to the Council's reason for refusal relating to unsustainability, the Inspector commented that the site is already a destination in a rural area, which is generally less accessible and where travel by car would be the primary means of travel. The Inspector considered that some customers will travel with others visiting the fishing complex. The Inspector concluded that the appeal site is a suitable location for a hair salon (A1 use class) having regard to the accessibility of the site by means of transport other than the private car. Whilst there is potential for the appeal proposal to generate more car journeys over and above those associated with the existing storage, or the approved residential use of the building, the Inspector was not persuaded that the site is so inaccessible by means of transport other than the car as to warrant dismissing the appeal. Consequently the decision maker did not find conflict with the location sustainability objective of Local Plan Policy NE5.

The Inspector therefore Allowed the appeal and granted planning permission, subject to a standard time condition of three years for implementation and a condition restricting the use of the premises as a hairdressers and for no other retail use.

2.2 An appeal against refusal of planning permission 21/00092/FULPP was allowed for the 'erection of a carport to the front of the property' at **74 Ayling Lane**, **Aldershot**. The reason for refusal stated;

The Inspector considered that the frontage of No.74 would not be read as part of a consistent pattern of development, finding the car port would be a predominantly open structure of a high quality design and materials that would complement those of the dwelling.

The Inspector considered the appearance of the car port would be acceptable, also concluding:

'When not being used the structure would not adversely impact on the open frontage and when occupied by a vehicle it would be perceived as a logical building and because of its good design arguably preferable to a car parked with no screening'.

The Inspector allowed the appeal and granted planning permission, subject to a standard time condition of three years.

It should be noted that when refusing applications on design grounds a subsequent appeal decision can derive from an Inspector exercising judgement and arriving at a different conclusion.

It might be argued that the reasoning in this decision is somewhat contradictory – in particular the acceptability of the car port appears to be predicated on it being a 'predominately open structure' whilst also being judged on its ability to 'screen' a car.

#### 3. Commentary

3.1 In neither of the reported cases can it be reasonably suggested that the Inspectors erred in law in arriving at their conclusions and that as a consequence there are grounds for legal challenge. In both cases the decisions are a matter of judgement, one on the matter and significance of the 'rural' nature of the location and the relevant policy status, and the other on the aesthetics of the proposed structure. Where the quality and conclusions of Inspectors' decisions are questionable or unclear and could thereby have implications for the subsequent implementation of Local Plan Policy, it can be useful to engage in correspondence with the Planning Inspectorate to seek comment or clarification, (although this would not result in a change to a decision). The decisions reported above, being framed as matters of judgement, are not considered to give rise to wider consequences giving rise to the need for further engagement of this nature.

#### 4. Recommendation

4.1 It is recommended that the report be **NOTED**.

#### Tim Mills Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing

#### Development Management Committee 10<sup>th</sup> November 2021

#### Planning Report No. EPSH 2132

#### Planning (Development Management) summary report for the quarter Jul - Sept 2021

#### 1. Introduction

1.1 The purpose of this report is to update Members on the position with respect to Performance Indicators and the overall workload of Development Management Section of Planning. This report covers the quarter from 1<sup>st</sup> July to 30<sup>th</sup> September.

#### 2. Planning Applications

2.1 The three tables below set out figures relating to determination of Major, Minor and 'Other' planning applications for the second quarter. We are required to provide the government with statistical returns in relation to decision times. It should be noted that the returns required by government do not include some application types including applications for the approval of details pursuant to conditions, applications to fell or carry out works to TPO trees and trees in Conservation Areas, Non-Material Amendments, Screening Opinions, Adjacent Authority Consultations and applications for approval in relation to conditions. These however constitute a significant source of demand on our service numbering 112 cases in the quarter. These are included in the total figures reflecting workload set out at 3.1 below.

Major and small scale major Applications determined within 15 weeks/FFA large					
Decisions in Jul-Sept 2021 G		Government	2020/2021		
quarter		Target	Total		
1	100%	60%	100%		
	Decisions in	Decisions in Jul-Sept 2021 quarter	Decisions in Jul-Sept 2021 Government quarter Target	Decisions in quarterJul-Sept 2021Government Target2020/2021 Total	

Major and small scale major Applications determined within 13 weeks/PPA target

Minor (Non householder) Applications determined within 8 weeks

Decisions in quarter	Jul-Sept 2021	Government Target	2020/2021 Total
25	84%	65%	88.86%

\*11 of 25 cases were determined outside the statutory period but were subject to agreed extensions of time and therefore recorded as in time.

'Other' (Including Householder) Applications determined within 8 weeks

Decisions in quarter	Jul-Sept 2021	Government Target	2020/2021 Total
114	84.6%	80%	89.85%

2.2 The following table sets out figures relating to appeals allowed against the authority's decision to refuse permission.

% of appeals allowed against the authority's decision to refuse

Government Target	Jul-Sept 2021	Appeal Decisions
40% max	33.3%	3

Departmental Work Demand Jul-Sept 2020

#### 3. Workload

3.1 This section deals with workload demand on the Development Management Section in the second quarter of 2020-2021.

	Applications Submitted (All types)	Pre-Application Cases	Applications Determined (All types)	Appeals Submitted
Q2	236	82	261	4

3.2 The following graphs present the time period being taken to determine different types of application in the first quarter of 2020-2021.



3.3 Performance with regard to Major applications remains well above the Government target. One major case (Redevelopment of Parsons Barracks Car Park) was determined in this quarter.

Major and small-scale majors Total 1



3.4 This second graph illustrates the determination times for minor applications, 84% of which were determined within the statutory period or in accordance with agreed extensions of time in the second quarter of 2021-22.

**Decision in Weeks** Weeks to Decision

'Other' (Including Householder) applications Total 114

3.5 This third graph shows that in the second quarter of this financial year the majority of householder applicants received decisions within eight weeks of their validation date with a significant number being determined in the fourth and fifth weeks.

#### 4. Fee Income

- 4.1 The total planning fee income received for the second quarter was £51,071 against a budget estimate of £104,400. At the half-way point in the financial year receipts total £182,440 against the estimate of £208,800.
- 4.2 The total pre-application income received for the second quarter was £10,913 against a budget estimate of £9,000.

#### 5. Section 106 contributions

5.1 Information in this section relates to financial contributions secured by way of section 106 planning obligations. The allocation of capacity in the Southwood II, Hawley Meadows and Rowhill Copse SANGs is now complete and there will henceforth be no new contributions other than residual contributions arriving from older schemes and agreements. Allocation with a view to collection of contributions in respect of the first phase of the new Southwood Country Park SANG commenced in August 2019.

Section 106 contributions received	Jul-Sept 2021
Contributions received (Rushmoor and Hampshire)~	£809.410.03
Open Space (specific projects set out in agreements)	£166,055.24
SANGS a) Southwood II b) Southwood Country Park f) Rowhill Copse	a) £1690 b) £0 f) £577,900
SAMM* a) Southwood II b) Southwood Country Park c) Wellesley Woodland d) Bramshot Farm (Hart) f) Rowhill Copse	a) £184.63 b) £0 c) £0 d) £526 f) £63,054.16
Transport (specific projects set out in agreements)*	£17,550

~This figure also includes monitoring charges, interest and receipts for the Farnborough Airport Community Environmental Fund.

\*SAMM contributions and Transport are paid to Hampshire County Council.

4 new undertakings/legal agreements were signed in the period April-Jun 2020.

#### 6. Comment on workload for this quarter

6.1 This second quarter saw a slight fall in numbers of application and pre-application submissions and a rise in cases determined. Planning application has been lower and pre-application income higher than anticipated in budget estimates. The most significant variable, the effect of submission of major applications and their associated fees, remains difficult to predict. 6.2 Initial steps towards different working arrangements with a movement away from home based to hybrid home/office based working is at the planning stage.

#### 7. Wellesley

- 7.1 There have been 954 residential occupations to date at Wellesley. Maida Development Zone A is substantially complete. This contains 228 units of which 226 are occupied. The remaining two will be constructed/occupied once the sales suite is no longer required in connection with the Corunna Development Zones.
- 7.2 Corunna Development Zone (Zone B), opposite Maida on the west side of Queen's Avenue is at an advanced stage of completion and will provide 733 residential units, including six supported housing units 530 of the units are now occupied.
- 7.3 Gunhill Development Zone (Zone E) is located west of the Cambridge Military Hospital and north of Hospital Road. The zone is completed and comprises 107 Private Rented Units, all of which have been occupied.
- 7.4 McGrigor Development Zone (Zone D) is nearing completion. This zone is located to the north of the Cambridge Military Hospital, and to the east of Maida Zone, and will provide a total of 116 residential units. 91 of the units are occupied including the converted curtilage listed buildings of St Michael's House and Cambridge House.
- 7.5 Work continues on site for the first phases of the Cambridge Military Hospital Development Zone (Zone C) by Weston Homes. A temporary marketing suite has been created within the central Admin Block following the sales launch in March 2021. A statue of Florence Nightingale was recently installed at the front of the Cambridge Military Hospital, following the submission of the relevant planning and listed building consent applications.
- 7.6 Permission was granted on the 27<sup>th</sup> May 2021 for 430 dwellings at Stanhope Line East (Zone K) and part of Buller (Zone M) Development Zones. The application was submitted by Taylor Wimpey and will form the next phase of Wellesley. This phase will incorporate the eastern half of Stanhope Lines, Wellesley's linear park. The Applicant has recently submitted the first of various details applications which require approval prior to commencement of the development.

#### 8. Recommendation

8.1 That the report be NOTED

Tim Mills Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing

Contact: John W Thorne 01252 398791

BACKGROUND PAPERS: None.

This page is intentionally left blank

#### Development Management Committee 10<sup>th</sup> November 2021

Planning Report No. EPSH2133

#### **Esso Pipeline Project**

#### 1. Introduction

The Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing will give a verbal update on the current position with regard to the Development Consent Order issued in respect of a the Major Infrastructure Project to renew and partially realign the Southampton to London fuel pipeline which crosses Rushmoor Borough.(Our Ref.19/00432/PINS). This page is intentionally left blank